Oesophageal Foreign Bodies - from Diagnostic Challenge to Therapeutic Dilemma
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Abstract

Ingestion of foreign bodies, common in the emergency services, remains a challenge for physicians despite preventive measures and technical progress due to the frequency and possible complications, serious complications that can darken vital prognosis or may be a source of remote morbidity. Clinical
experience at "St. Mary" Hospital included, between 2000-
2015, 39 patients diagnosed with FB ingestion, of which 26
fixed in the oesophagus, with the remaining 13 having
spontaneously progressed along the digestive tract (5 recovered
from the stomach, 6 naturally evacuated, and 2 cases with colic
perforation). Oesophageal foreign bodies occur consecutively to
(in)voluntary ingestion, the vast majority of them passing
unnoticed. The most frequently encountered types are coins,
batteries, needles, various sharps objects, food, bone fragments,
cartilages, pieces of plastic, glass, etc., FB impaction at
oesophageal level being usually conditioned by the 3 anatomical
narrowings. Typically, FB ingestion occurs at extreme ages,
60% of patients being pre-school children (<6 years), with an
even higher percentage - between 70-80% between 6 months
and 2 years of age - and only 15% elderly, frequently edentulous.
Usual clinical signs, in the absence of complications, are:
dysphagia, hypersialorrhoea, low cervical and / or chest strain,
sometimes vomiting. Not at all infrequently (30%), we notice
the absence of any sign. Alarming manifestations, which
indicate the development of complications, are pyrexia,
general physical health deterioration, pain (with vertebral /
terscapular projection), pulping, subcutaneous cervical
emphysema. Diagnosis via various imaging methods (simple
radiography, barium swallow, CT, MRI) remains the essential
link in identifying the lesion and establishing a therapeutic
approach. Endoscopic evaluation (rigid or flexible) is mandatory,
also allowing therapeutic gestures. In terms of progression, 80-
90% of FB pass into the stomach, being eliminated naturally,
about 10-20% require endoscopic extraction, and only 1% of
cases require surgical intervention. Treatment of uncomplicated
cases is essentially endoscopic, surgery to extract the foreign
body being necessary in exceptional cases. In case of complica-
tions, surgery is the only reliable therapeutic resource.
Oesophageal foreign bodies frequently represent an emergency,
with symptomatic functional features contrasting with the poor
clinical signs present, requiring extraction via the natural
pathways as treatment in most cases. The most effective
"treatment" remains prevention and raising awareness in parents
with children ≤6 years, while the most important element in
the management of FB is to maintain the airways free.
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**Introduction**

Ingestion of foreign bodies (FB), common in the emergency
services, remains a challenge for physicians despite preventive
measures and technical progress due to the frequency and
possible complications, serious complications that can obscure
vital prognosis or can be a source of morbidity at a distance.

Oesophageal foreign bodies occur consecutively to voluntary
or involuntary ingestion and, usually, are 5 times more frequent
than those of the airways, mostly going unnoticed. Most
frequently - 80-90% - they migrate in the stomach and are
eliminated naturally, through faeces, approximately 10-20%
require endoscopic extraction, while only 1% of cases require a
surgical act (1-3).

Clinical experience at "St. Mary" Hospital included,
between 2000-2015, 39 patients diagnosed with FB ingestion,
of which 26 fixed in the oesophagus, with the remaining 13
having spontaneously progressed along the digestive tract (5
recovered from the stomach, 6 naturally evacuated, and 2 cases
with colic perforation). Impacted oesophageal foreign bodies
were most often bone fragments, dentures, various metal objects,
and food. All 26 patients were adults, most over 65 years old (21
cases) and without psychiatric history. Of the 26 FBs fixed at
oesophageal level, 22 were fixed at the level of the 3 anatomical
narrowings: 14 at the mouth of the oesophagus, 3 at the level of
the aortic impression, and 5 cases below the cardia. In 4 cases
the impaction site was atypical. A number of 11 patients out of
the total 26 reported a pre-existing oesophageal pathology.
Clinical diagnosis was suggested by the anamnesis and,
eventually, by the inability of normal swallowing, occurring
recently. Complications involving perforation were rare, 3 at
cervical level and 1 mid-thoracic, resulting in abscesses and
sepsis resistant to treatment. Standard investigations performed
were thoracic-pulmonary radiography; barium swallow; endoscopy
in all its variants (rigid, especially during the onset years of the
study, ENT fibroscopy, gastrofibroscopy). Presence of complica-
tions determined the necessity for CT and MRI imaging to
assess lesions. Uncomplicated cases (22 cases) were treated via
endoscopic approach, extraction being carried out by
rigid oesophagoscopy in 2 cases, and gastrofibroscopy in the
remaining ones. In 7 cases, the FBs were pushed into the
stomach, where they could be more easily manipulated, possibly
triturated (in cases of impacted food), and extracted. The 3
cervical suppurations required a surgical gesture, left lateral
cervicotomy, the FBs being identified and extracted by direct
approach in 2 cases, and via endoscopic approach in the third
case. Wound toilet and effective drainage, abstention from oral
feeding and ensuring feeding through an underlying approach
gastrostomy led to resolution of the cases. Mediastinal
oesophageal perforation was approached by direct thoracotomy,
with FB extraction, parietal suture and lining with mediastinal
pleura, lavage and multiple drainage. Progression was slowly
favourable. We did not use prosthetic stents for oesophageal
perforation due to FBs.

Food habits determine different types of ingested FB, as well
as the patient's age. (Table 1) The most common are coins,
batteries, needles, various sharps objects, food, dodge bone,
cartilages, pieces of plastic, glass, alloys, etc. In terms of age (4),
FB ingestion occurs most frequently in extreme ages, 60% of
patients being pre-school children less than 6 years old (5-9), the
percentage becoming even higher - between 70-80% for ages 6
months to 2 years old - conditioned especially by children's
tendency to mouth objects, and only 15% of the elderly,
most often edentulous (10-14). In the latter case, one must
differentiate between retention of a partially masticated food
and the impaction of a foreign body that is not food. Also,
ingesting can be secondary to a voluntary or accidental mechanism; if in the first case the patient's profile is that of an instable person, with psychiatric disabilities, or in prison, in the second case it is an occasional, isolated, ingestion type incident specific to any age. In 97% of ingestion cases there is a single FB but, in exceptional circumstances of voluntary ingestion or in psychiatric patients, there may be several.

Usually, the oesophagus may be involved in its upper 1/3 as well as in the lower 2/3 (3), FB blockage at the level of the oesophagus is most often conditioned by the 3 anatomical narrowings: a superior one at the mouth of the oesophagus (68%), a middle one at the level of the aortic impression (13%), and an inferior one at the cardia (18%). In case of impaction at the mouth of the oesophagus (Killian), it is mandatory to seek a pre-existing distal oesophageal pathology, usually conditions resulting in an organic or functional stenosis of the oesophagus (6-9, 15-19). External compression causes leading to impaction resulting in an organic or functional stenosis of the oesophagus (6-9, 15-19).

Table 1. Foreign body and oesophageal impaction – aetiology and risk factors for ingestion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childhood</td>
<td>Peak between 6 months to 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaired vision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuro-psychiatric disorders (dementia, drug-induced, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edentulous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisoners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dealers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology of oesophagus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narrowing – rings, strictures (caustic, peptic, anastomotic, post-oesophageal surgery, post-radiation, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others – eosinophilic oesophagitis, oesophageal motility disorders, etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caustic pills</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large foreign body</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The occurrence of complications, are: pyrexia, general physical health deterioration, pain (vertebral and interscapular projection), pulping, subcutaneous cervical emphysema. Obstruction is the most common immediate complication, being relatively well-tolerated in adults, but in children, subsequent to lumen occlusion, a state of dehydration may occur (for bulky foreign bodies causing total dysphagia). Suction-type phenomena are a consequence of luminal obstruction (21), having inadequate management of oesophageal-pharyngeal swallowing as an aetiological substrate, with a defective downward passage and accumulation of the digestive contents above the obstacle. Given that in the general population aspiration phenomena are insignificant or, in any case, generate only minor symptoms, their sudden occurrence without any serious history mainly suggests foreign body retention. For the practitioner, food aspiration can therefore be a signal of a foreign body impaction, especially located in the proximal segment of the oesophagus. Partial perforation is extremely rare as an immediate consequence, usually occurring several days after ingestion. Septic phenomena with general health deterioration suggest a complication (28). Oesophageal strictures, oesophageal-tracheal fistula and oesophageal-bronchial fistula can occur remotely (29). Rarely, massive hematemesis due to aortic-oesophageal fistulas with fatal bleeding can occur (30-32).

In children, symptoms are more atypical (21,24,27), presenting hypersialorrhoea, vomiting, dysphagia. One should also be put on guard by respiratory signs that manifest with fits of coughing, dyspnoea, wheezing, their frequency increasing with the duration of FB persistence.

ENT clinical examination must be precise, by direct inspection, exploring the tonsil lodges, of the base of the tongue, the valleculas looking for FBs or mucosal wounds, with a mandatory association of fibroscopic examination of the larynx / pharynx and piriform sinuses. Nasal and auricular evaluation for detection of additional FB is standard. Examining the quality of the respiratory act and of the sounds emitted during inhale / exhale manoeuvres is of paramount importance, some FB oscillating at the level of the aero-digestive crossroads, intermittently blocking a lumen or another, or in the presence of an increased number of FB, some can be aspirated and others swallowed. In such situations, the risk of respiratory obstruction with acute respiratory failure is significant, and failure to recognize the lesion can lead to the death of the patient. Pain at mobilization of the laryngeal skeleton and cervical level crepitations should also be identified. Lung auscultation must be performed, as well as palpation of the abdomen and temperature measurement.

The multiplicity and variety of possible toxicity in terms of quality and properties of the material, of size and shape of the FB ingested, explain the diverse and polymorph lesional picture, rendered specific by way of the physico-chemical properties of the FB. The maximum size that makes the passage possible is up to 2.5 cm. Above this size limit there is a risk of impaction, most likely not in the oesophagus, but at pyloric level (6, 33 - 35). If the FB "passes" the pyloric barrier, crossing through the entero-colonic lumen is slow, sometimes up to 4 weeks (15) !. The risk of impaction anywhere on this route exists, but the election area...
is the ileocecal valve. During this period, radiological follow-up is mandatory, and persistence for more than a week in a fixed position requires surgical intervention (36).

In case of FBs larger than 2.5 cm, especially compact, and relatively uniform, oesophageal retention occurs as a rule, and transcandial passage is the exception. If, however, passage to the stomach occurs, they become fixed at this level, with specific complications. On the other hand, elongated foreign bodies, longer than 6 cm, cross the oesophagus and cardia relatively easy, sometimes even the pylorus, blocking most often the duodenal curvatures, with significant risk of perforation (37).

A series of events, usually systemic, are assigned to toxic compounds in the ingested foreign bodies. Zinc, nickel or copper intoxication has been reported sporadically (38-40) in cases of ingestion of coins or galvanically treated FB. Circular batteries ("button") contain mercury oxide, and in the presence of an altered capsule, possibly through mastication, can release inorganic mercury in the lumen. Apart from transient increases in the level of mercury in the blood or urine no specific symptoms of mercury poisoning have been reported (41-44).

Histopathological lesions (45-48) caused by a blunt foreign body, without sharp edges or ends, initially induce more or less severe ischemia through direct compression of the mucosa, dependent on the size of the FB, followed by a phase of congestion of the perilesional mucosa, followed in 1-2 days by oedema. Persistence of the compression by failure to extract the FB will lead to dilacerations by ischemo-necrosis of the parietal stratigraphy, with the advent of bleeding ulcers and secondary superinfection by local microbial spread. Progression can be towards oesophageal perforation and, depending on the level of impaction, periesophageal phlegmon ± supplicative mediastinitis; fistulisation in the tracheobronchial or aortic tree is exceptionally rare (30-32). Sharp foreign bodies are reputed for sharp punching wounds. There are multiple versions, with some regional profiles. Thus, in the United States (6) the most common injuries reported are those due to toothpicks and dodge bones, in Asia (Korea, Japan) due to fish bones, and in Romania the causes can be found somewhere in between all of the above. Ingestion of glass fragments frequently determines perforation wounds, especially in cases of voluntary ingestion, consequence of a substantial size of the pieces swallowed. An interesting fact is that ingestion of shaving blades (49) can result in them crossing the lower digestive tract without difficulty, once past the pyloric-duodenal barrier. Also interesting is the case of pins, often swallowed by tailors and which, thanks to peristaltic activity as well, position themselves with the pin head forward, often relieving the patient of perforated injuries.

A particular situation (41) is given by circular type "button" batteries. Their small size, weight and modest adherent ability explain on the one hand their property to adhere anywhere along the oesophagus and, on the other hand, the rapid emergence of necrotic parietal lesions followed by perforation within hours of ingestion (0) as a result of electrical discharge due to contact between both poles of the battery with the wall of the collapsed oesophagus. This is why urgent recognition of the type of FB present is required, followed by its extraction, and subsequent radiological follow-ups.

Diagnostic imaging through its variants (simple X-ray, Barium X-ray, CT, MRI) remains an essential link in identifying the lesion and establishing the therapeutic approach.

Chest radiographic examination (front, profile and oblique incidence) is of utmost importance to confirm the diagnosis (15,50), allowing easy identification of radiopaque FB in terms of location, size, shape, number and differential diagnosis with FB aspirated in the tracheobronchial tree. Lateral and oblique incidence are mandatory (15,51,52), as they distinguish between oesophageal and tracheal location (on the profile X-ray an oesophageal FB is projected behind the trachea and in front of the vertebral), enabling discovery of multiple bodies (53) overlapping on a frontal incidence X-ray, therefore providing information which is vital in establishing the therapeutic method, and also presents high accuracy in identifying the foreign body type. Association with an abdominal X-ray and a cervical one when in doubt between inhalation and ingestion is again mandatory. For example (Fig. 1), on a chest X-ray if a coin is round in frontal incidence and linear in the profile incidence it is positioned in the oesophagus, while if it is the other way around it is engaged in the trachea, being oriented thusly because of the vocal cords (54,55). Standard radiography, with its variants, is an essential element in detecting "button" type batteries, known for the risks and complications determined at oesophageal level (previously mentioned), being a quick and reliable method, with an extremely high diagnostic index (56).

In the case of bodies with reduced opacity, a useful method
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**Figure 1.** Chest X-ray - anterior and lateral view - showing coin in oesophagus, upper ⅓ thoracic
would be low voltage radiology (65-70 kVp), allowing increased contrast between them and neighbouring tissues. The limits of standard radiology in diagnosing radiolucent bodies are evident, their failure to appear on X-ray films forcing the physician to resort to the radiological low voltage method (modest diagnostic rate) or to oesophageal contrast-swallowing studies (57,58).

A significant role of simple radiology is that in screening of complications, usually punctured and subsequently septic. In the proximal portion, thickening of the soft cervical-mediastinal tissues, presence of a gas effusion or prevertebral emphysema are characteristic signs (59) of perforation, in the same way that in the chest region, identification of pneumomediastinum, pneumothorax, hydrothorax or hydro-pneumothorax are obvious signs for oesophageal parietal intrusion. It is essential to mention whether there is any mediastinal involvement - mediastinitis, with or without any spread, any possible mediastinal abscess formation, etc (60, 61). The role of standard radiology in follow-up and subsequent screening after extraction should not be neglected.

Barium swallow is a complement method to standard diagnostic radiology (20) and, although there are opponents of the method due to the risk of aspiration (15,16), as well as a number of false negative results (36,37) and interferences with endoscopy (62-64), its value is undoubted. If we also take into account the existence of cases with formal contraindication for endoscopy, we must consider the method as being an essential one. We have used it sparingly and our expectations were rewarded, but on one condition: strict observance of the rules and conditionality of the method. Barium administration should be performed in a thin layer, sequentially following its progression, (Fig. 2) otherwise there is a non-diagnosis risk by "drowning" the FB in the barium column (36). Any suspicion of a possible oesophageal perforation contraindicates the use of barium (65,66) due to granulomatous reaction secondary to its penetration into the mediastinum. Another unpleasant effect is that of tampering exploration results in subsequent imaging (e.g. CT). Under these circumstances the use of contrast substances soluble in water (67-69) (e.g. Gastrografin) is recommended whenever we suspect a parietal effraction, even though the diagnostic rate is low (70) - 15-25% failure rate for thoracic fistulae and approximately 50% for cervical ones 1. In exceptional situations, such as identifying the site of impaction of a small dodge bone, with reduced radiological contrast, we used barium paste fixed in a ball of cotton, which was "hanged" and blocked in the oesophagus at the site of FB retention. (Fig. 3)

The special diagnostic sensitivity of CT exam in the detection of oesophageal FB makes this method a great one, especially for the cranial portion of the oesophagus (57,58), even if failure rates are reported for radiolucent bodies (15). In other words, the detection rate of FBs is directly proportional to their opacity. To this one can add the importance of the retention site and of the proximity of bony structures that can alter the result. Beyond its efficacy in detecting FBs and their characteristics strictly dependent on their physicochemical radio-behaviour, CT allows a three-dimensional evaluation of the oesophagus' relations with adjacent structures and the impact determined by perforation-fistular complications. Common type CT (71) has the disadvantage of a moderate resolution, detecting objects larger than one centimetre, and a relatively high rate of artefacts due to respiratory movements, a consequence of the prolonged time necessary to perform the investigation. The technical development of tomographic equipment allowed the development of spiral CT, which has a higher working speed and superior quality imaging (72,73). For example, a scan of the entire thorax in ranges 1.25 mm apart can be achieved in about 19 seconds, in a single period of apnoea, the result not being influenced in any way by respiratory movements. If a 3D computer-generated reconstruction of the oesophagus is also performed, under these conditions, CT sensitivity is reported as 100%, with a specificity of 92.6%, a positive predictive value rate of 97.9% and a negative one of 100% (72, 74 - 76). Administration of an IV contrast medium has no direct diagnostic role in terms of the object ingested, but it is absolutely necessary in order to identify complications of perforation wounds. Per oral contrast substances do not present an obvious diagnostic benefit compared to a barium lunch. A variant of CT exam, in addition to the use of an orally administered contrast medium with low osmolality, is the use of effervescent granules (77), greatly increasing the rate of identification of perforation wounds.

A customized version for use in pregnant women or children is low dose CT, for foreign bodies with low contrast (51,78-81). Beyond identifying FB, CT is of paramount importance (77,82,83) in the evaluation of the mediastinum, its involvement through oesophageal perforation having diagnostic
value by detecting an inflammatory status, extraluminal air, peri-
oesophageal liquid or contrast substance, various instances of parietal thickening, etc."

CT is recommended to be carried out as early as possible (73, 75), within the first 24 hours, whenever there is suspicion or diagnostic confirmation by other methods of ingestion of FB.

MRI evaluation is an exception, its role being still subject to discussion (21,84,85). If we take into account the limitations concerning metal bodies, the long manoeuvre time with the induction of artefacts, the possibly necessary sedation of patients and the costs, it becomes obvious why MRI maintains a restricted indication, most often in situations in which the diagnosis remains uncertain after investigation through other evaluation techniques, being useful in evaluating complications, especially perforations, and possible secondary collections. (Fig. 4)

Alternative methods, used exceptionally, are ultrasound and metal detectors. Soft tissue ultrasound is recommended especially for the diagnosis of inflammation in oesophageal perforation, and abdominal ultrasound can detect FBs that migrated and were fixed in the stomach (86). Manual metal detectors are required due to several advantages, rendering the method increasingly efficient in the diagnosis and accurate identification of the impaction site (87,88): the device is cheap, the method fast and easily reproducible, non-invasive (no ionizing radiation), malleable due to the smaller size of the detector, it can be used anywhere, requires no difficult training, and is easily endured by patient. Optimum results are obtained from the detection of metal objects reasonably large of course, with a sensitivity and specificity rate exceeding 90%. Beyond diagnosis, the essential purpose of metal detectors remains to specify the site of impaction, above the diaphragm (oesophageal) or subdiaphragmatic respectively (migrated in the stomach or small bowel). For a proper evaluation a few rules to follow are mandatory: the patient is stripped, placed away from any neighbouring sources of metal and removing those owned by the patient (buttons, chains, rings, earrings, etc.), the scan is performed slowly, progressively, starting at cervical level and then descending over the chest, abdomen, perineum, from the front, side and in the end the back, passing the device several times over the patient’s body (87,89). Correlation with other diagnostic methods is mandatory.

**Endoscopic assessment**

Endoscopic examination recognizes two diagnostic, and often therapeutic, assistance techniques: rigid and flexible oesophagoscopy. In terms of equipment used the following are described:

- Rigid endoscopy:
  - Haslinger or Chevalier “light endoscope”;
  - Hopkins (Storz or Wolf) oesophagoscope with cold light.
- Fibro-endoscopy:
  - ENT type fibro-endoscope;
  - gastrofibroscope and videoendoscope.

Since its introduction in medical practice (Kussmaul 1870; Mikulicz 1881), the method of rigid oesophagoscopy has been used in dual manner: diagnostic and therapeutic. The technique retains its authenticity and allows great diagnostic success under the following conditions: good knowledge of the endoscopic method by the performing doctor (both flexible and rigid), thorough examination, knowledge of oesophageal anatomy and morphological pathology. The performer should be able to view the initial imagistic data and be alarmed if the circumstances requires a delicate investigation: various initial pathologies of the oesophagus, perforation wounds or other complications with acute character, erosive esophagitis, etc. Typically, the failure of the method is associated to an incomplete investigation, on average between 0.8 - 2% of the cases (90). The most redoubtable complication of the investigation is oesophageal perforation, a reason for which Jackson’s aphorism (90) (1934, 1950) is perfectly justified even today: "seek the oesophageal lumen and follow it. " The introduction of halogen "cold” light lightbulbs, of the angled optics system (Hopkins), of air insufflation, has limited the risk of iatrogenic perforation so that this type of complication occurs in a proportion similar to that of flexible endoscopy. However, rigid oesophagoscopy is increasingly less used (20,91,92), being indicated in certain cases only, such as neoplastic cases and especially foreign bodies. Rigidity of the instrument makes it difficult to use in patients with spondylosis or various types of cervical ankyloses. In compensation, this tool allows a precise, effective exploration of the oro- and hypopharynx, of the larynx, pharyngeal, of the pharyngeal-oesophageal junction, and of the upper oesophagus. This information is difficult to obtain by exploration with the flexible endoscope. One of the drawbacks of the technique is the need for a training period that the performing physician must go

![Figure 4. MRI sagittal view – 1. Posterior oesophageal perforation with vertebral body penetration. 2. Retropharyngeal abscess with gas bubbles. In cartouche, MRI transversal view: 3. Oesophageal perforation and bone penetration](image-url)
through. Secondly, another drawback is the need for IOT general anaesthesia, the medical staff mandatorily having to include an anaesthesiologist. In general, the rigid oesophagoscopy technique is more difficult than the flexible one, requiring the allocation of an operating room and qualified staff, including someone to help mobilize the patient according to the needs of the explorer. On the other hand, it allows the use of an extremely diverse set of tools that facilitate extraction (endoscopes in various diameters and sizes, with appropriate accessories), and associated with a laryngoscope and bronchoscope it allows one to perform a pan-endoscopy of the upper aerodigestive pathway. The emergence of flexible endoscopes virtually caused a monopolization of the method. Two different endoscopic explorations both in terms of equipment and method of use are known: ENT fibroendoscope and classic gastrofibroscope. The ENT endoscope has the advantage of a small diameter, which makes it easily endurable to the patient and leads to some extremely useful information about the aerodigestive junction area, difficult or impossible to obtain when using the gastrofibroscope, however, below the area of the aerodigestive crossroads the exploration becomes virtually impossible. As a corollary of ENT mini-endoscopic examination, a concurrent specialized consult holds a crucial role (+ indirect laryngoscopy). Inspection of the oesophagus with the flexible gastrofibroscope allowed, in addition to barium swallow, a diagnostic index increase, regardless of oesophageal pathology, of almost 100% different pathologies that have similar semiotics being usually excluded by contrast radiology. For this reason, as well as for preliminary information on the oesophageal status, both fibrogastroscopy and, more recently, videoendoscopy are recommended to be performed after contrast radiology.

For fibroscopy, blind positioning of the instrument at upper level is generally easy, in case of cooperating patients, with no lesional involvement of the aerodigestive crossroads, of the hypo-pharynx or the upper oesophagus, but there is a risk of mobilizing FBs located in a supraglottic position in the oesophagus or, more seriously, in the larynx, with acute obstruction of the airways. Therefore, whenever a foreign body is suspected, the introduction of the endoscope must be performed "in sight". Whenever there is an abnormal resistance when attempting to perform an endoscopy, it is preferable to use a different technique, or even anaesthesia. Another consequence of endoscopic exploration is triggering vagal reflexes. This is why, in cardio-vascular patients or for lengthy explorations, continuous coronary monitoring is required during the investigation. In preparation for endoscopy, regardless of method, one must meet several conditions: thorough clinical examination (oral cavity-teething, functionality of the jaw joint, neck - asymmetry, lymph nodes, hypertrophy of the thyroid; spinal column - functionality, mobility, especially at cervical level), initial barium swallow, empty stomach, possibly premedication. The main prerequisite is a cooperative patient, balanced, otherwise requiring general anaesthesia. The use of general anaesthesia is required in patients with lesions of the airway.

Endoscopic examination, rigid or flexible, presents high diagnostic rates (90, 93-95), and may reveal foreign bodies in between 87-98% of cases via the first method, with a rate of perforation less than 3%, while in case of the latter, the diagnostic index is between 80 - 98.5%, with a risk of perforation below 1%. In the hands of an experienced endoscopist the exploration allows, aside from obtaining diagnostic information, the possibility of performing a therapeutic gesture, under one condition - handling the endoscope with great finesse and patience. (Fig. 5, 6)

The differential diagnosis (96) must be made with other causes of dysphagia: various types of oesophageal stenosis, oesophageal spasms, tracheal stenosis, pharyngeal paraesthesia, or oesophageal diverticulitis, etc. Similar to many pathological entities, FBs also comply with the following corollary: the earlier the diagnosis is set, the smaller the risk of complications, and consequently the better the therapy results.

If airway obstruction is not present or is only partial, the Heimlich manoeuvre at the scene may precipitate impaction and blocking of the airways, so the patient should be transported to
the hospital as soon as possible so that the extraction can be performed. An attempt to perform blind exploration of the hypopharynx by use of a finger is also not desirable, frequently pushing the foreign body into the larynx or the oesophagus. Patient transport must be performed with him/her in supine position, ensuring a patent airway, with visual inspection of the oropharynx to detect the FB, sometimes a suction probe proving helpful in patients with sialorrhea. The diagnosis is usually possible to establish from the patient’s account, but in many cases it is necessary to interrogate the entourage as well. Treatment of oesophageal FBs starts with their recognition. Once arrived in the ER, the emergency doctor has four essential tasks to accomplish: clinical evaluation that essentially includes immediate and rapid evaluation of airway patency; eventual removal of accessible FBs; radiological / endoscopic investigation to identify them, medical management. Subsequently, the therapeutic task is undertaken by the endoscopist, ENT specialist or surgeon, depending on the circumstances: FB extraction via endoscopy, diagnosis and monitoring of complications, management of complications, usually surgical or endoscopic.

The signs of airway obstruction (stridor, wheezing, breathlessness) due to ingested foreign bodies sounds the alarm for a possible asphyxiation, which is why orotracheal intubation may be a solution, or a gesture of absolute necessity. The presence of a hypersialorrhea with inability to swallow may also force intubation to prevent aspiration pneumonia. Even in case of an endoscopic extraction of the FB, intubation can relieve the risk of airway obstruction during the manoeuvre.

During the clinical examination, identification and removal of "handy" FB is mandatory, especially since one can face multiple ingestion situations.

Medication management is valuable and may be an important therapeutic weapon. Vomiting inducing methods with Ipecac or Papain are dangerous and ineffective (97). Instead, the administration of glucagon (i.v. Glucagon 1 mg), known for its myorelaxing effect on the oesophageal muscles and especially on the lower sphincter, would be beneficial in about 2/3 of cases by dislocation and propulsion of the FB into the stomach. Associating benzodiazepines or effervescent mixtures or water would significantly increase the success rate or will obviously ease the burden of the performing endoscopist (98-101), but on one condition: soft foreign body, with a reasonable size. Criticism regarding Glucagon administration includes side effects such as nausea / vomiting, hypokalaemia, hypoglycaemia and increased catecholamines (l in cardiac patients), with an at least questionable efficiency (98, 102-106).

Oesophageal foreign body treatment is polymorphic, but the endoscopic gesture is the most renowned, on the one hand due to the availability of the method, and on the other to the high efficiency of the technique in solving the pathology. An advantage not to be omitted of endoscopy is also that of parietal injury evaluation at oesophageal level. In case of ingestion (20), the medical attitude must take into account the time elapsed from the impaction of the FB, its contour and shape, its constituent material, the anatomical site of impaction, the endoscopist's experience, and last but not least the technical platform.

The moment of attempting endoscopic solving of the obstruction depends on the type of object, the site of impaction and the degree of obstruction. Endoscopy is recommended (20) to be carried out immediately in patients with ingestion of sharp FB (needles, bones - especially fish, glass, nails or objects > 6 cm length, etc.), or of "button" type batteries with increased risk of perforation, or in those with total obstruction (size > 2.5 cm thick) due to possible aspirations. Generally, any foreign body impacted in the oesophagus has indication for endoscopic extraction within 24h after ingestion, taking into account the possibility of abrasion, ulceration, fistulisation if extending beyond this chronological limit. If the FB dimensions are reduced and the patient is stable, without any complaints, some authors (7,110) consider that emergency endoscopy is not required based on the argument that the passage will take place spontaneously in about 4-6 days. Conservative attitude (7-9, 108), however, requires a slight diet, control of faeces to check evacuation and a clinical assessment regarding the development of any symptoms. Compact objects, relatively uniform, are evacuated in about 4 weeks and require weekly radiological monitoring to assess progression (8).

Some endoscopic management principles are mandatory. The therapeutic act should be carried out in the operating room, usually under sedation with local pharyngeal anaesthesia or, more recommended, under general anaesthesia iv and under intubation even, having statistically a success rate of > 90% and complications < 5% (20, 109, 110). The higher the impaction site, the more urgently is IOT anaesthaesthesia required. An available laryngoscope / bronchoscope for assistance or necessity is also a mandatory condition. Inspection of the crico-pharyngeal region must be rigorous to identify any other eventual FB that can be aspirated via the tracheal-branchial tree. Extraction can be achieved by laryngoscopy - blade type laryngoscope - for foreign bodies such as fish bones, coins or small toys located above the cricopharyngeal muscle (gloptic space). The equipment must include a complete kit for flexible and rigid endoscopy, and auxiliary equipment (Fig. 7) that includes mouse or crocodile teeth type forceps, polypectomy loops with or without a panner, polyp extractors, Dormia type panniers, etc. (111). Flexible endoscopic technique is considered the first choice, especially for single foreign bodies with distal impaction site, and the rigid technique is the first option for multiple bodies, especially with proximal block. However, accessibility makes gastrofibroscopy to be the technique preferred by most experts, a rigid endoscope being considered only as a second option. The identification of pre-existing pathology is important as the endoscopy act may be difficult (COPD, asthma, etc.), or they may precipitate FB aspiration in the tracheobronchial tree. Left lateral decubitus position of the patient for flexible endoscopy is the standard method, avoiding supine position, while for performing rigid endoscopy the patient is placed in supine position, with his/her head and shoulders lifted. Intraoperatively, 5 technical principles enunciated by Chevalier Jackson (112) retain their applicability today as well: location, release, rotation, clamping and removal.

Several recommendations are related to the type of FB. If we consider an impacted food bolus as a foreign body, two
technical variants are described: i) en bloc extraction or progressive fragmentation with the crocodile teeth forceps and extracting residue via a polypectomy loom or a Roth basket ii) progressively pushing the bolus in the stomach (push technique). If in the case of the first method criticism is aimed at the high risk of aspiration of fragments and debris, in the second case it suggests the increasing number of punctured wounds due to identifying underlying pathologies at the impaction zone (11, 17, 20). Latest studies (113, 114) conducted on a substantial number of cases reported no perforation complications and establish it as a safe method, with one condition: low pushing pressure, gentle in the middle of the bolus, and in terms of an inefficient gesture, marginal fragmentation persistent with bolus pressure.

The endoscopist’s attitude is nuanced for so-called true foreign bodies. Coins and relatively rounded bodies, uniform, with blunt edges, are appropriate for conservative treatment if their size is <2.5 cm and they are located in the distal oesophagus (115); above this value endoscopy becomes the rule. The patient is recommended to be intubated, placed in the Trendelenburg position to minimize the risk of aspiration, extraction being performed with the crocodile teeth forceps, type Roth or Dormia pannier, or even the polypectomy forceps. "Button" batteries are extracted excellently via a Roth basket, "grappling" them with a crocodile teeth forceps being often impossible. Failure of extraction from the oesophagus can lead to the need to push the FB in the stomach, site where the possibility of manoeuvring and gripping/extracting by shifting the FB’s position are much higher (116). Sharp foreign bodies (needles, bones, dentures, glass, etc.), as noted above, due to the high risk of perforation have indication for emergency extraction. The risk of oesophageal mucosa tear during the extraction is high, which is why it is recommended to push the FB into the stomach, as one can refocus and grab the object with the blunt side of the forceps, leaving the sharp one to be used near the end. In general, in preparing for extraction of a FB correct orientation is mandatory, but especially for long bodies, placing them on the longitudinal axis constituting the rule. The Roth pannier is often useless, but the Dormia pannier, polypectomy loop or crocodile forceps have instead proved their worth. Techniques are described to help protect the oesophagus, using an endoscopic tube placed in the oesophagus concomitantly with the endoscopy, in it being stored the extraction object ("penny pincher" technique), or a latex hood shaped as a bell (116). Failure of extraction or an incomplete extraction often determines the need for a new attempt. Recognizing an oesophageal perforation during endoscopy determines mandatory stenting (plastic or metal type fully-covered stents), checking every 24 hours via barium lunch, and its subsequent extraction about 4-5 weeks after installation (117, 118).

Postendoscopic management includes temperature monitoring, pulse rate and breathing rate monitoring. Usually, administration of antibiotics or corticoids are not indicated, but obviously if tracheobronchial or oesophageal perforation occurs they are required. A good follow-up does not require thoraco-pulmonary X-ray, and the patient is discharged about

Figure 7. Various tools used in endoscopic extraction of FB (clamps, forceps, basket, etc.)
6 hours after endoscopic extraction, or the next day if not feverish, breathing is normal, and he does not require oxygen administration.

Non-endoscopic therapeutic options are described, for example extraction of FB under X-ray control, with the patient lying on the side, by means of a Foley probe or Fogarty 14-16 (119,120). The probe is inserted via the nose or mouth and placed under the foreign body, followed by swelling the balloon with a soluble contrast product up to a diameter estimated to be of the oesophagus, initiating light traction to ensure the mobility of the FB, subsequently performing extraction with the patient in Trendelenburg position, under radiological control. The technique is appropriate especially in children, but not only. The advantage of the cost of the manoeuvre is counteracted by reduced FB control, risk of aspiration and the impossibility of evaluating the parietal lesion. An alternative is that of oesophageal dilation, a method that involves "pushing" the FB in the stomach (121). This technique is reported as a success if applied in patients with ingestion of a single coin of small dimensions, the time elapsed since the intake is less than 24 hours, radiology identifies the site of impaction, there is no history of any other episodes of impaction, oesophageal pathology or surgery at this level, and the respiratory system is not involved. If we mention the extremely low costs of these methods - between 597 and $ 1,884 for oesophageal dilation / Foley probe and between 3,100 and $ 6,087 for endoscopy - as well as the consistent therapeutic success rates, with no compromises or serious complications, it is understandable why some specialists prefer to resort to them (122-124).

The option of surgery has become more recently the exception rather than the therapeutic rule, being considered after failure of other therapies, and when there is no other resource possible, when expecting progression through the lumen results in lack of mobilization for more than a week of the FB along the digestive tract, or when digestive complications (perforation with septic complications, obstruction, bleeding) for which there is no other solution occur.

Oesophageal approach is based on the site of impaction: left lateral cervicotomy along the SCM edge for the cervical segment, right thoracotomy in spaces IV, V or VI for cranial to caudal impaction, left distal thoracotomy or laparotomy for FB in the distal oesophagus. The technique involves oesophageal opening with FB extraction, followed by suture of the oesophageal wall. A good nasogastric Levin probe and good drainage are mandatory.

Cervical oesophageal approach is relatively easy, endurable, and usually accompanied by minor postoperative complications (125-131). Not the same can be said about thoracotomy (132, 133), especially in the elderly, the latter presenting other pathologies as well. Therefore, in recent times, a thoracoscopic and / or laparoscopic approach (134-137) may be a solution depending on the specificity of each case.

Fistula post-surgical risk is consistent, most often as a consequence of inflammatory / septic lesions due to impaction that will alter the quality of the parietal structure and therefore of the material suture. Cure of the fistula after primary surgical approach is possible, and only through effective stenting (138-140).

The presence of perforation complicated with a collection more or less extensive rarely renders an oesophageal suture possible or effective, the main objective being to extract the FB with a sustained antiseptic lavage and efficient drainage. Postoperative stenting is recommended in this case as well, especially for abdominal and thoracic level impaction. An aggressive antibiotic therapy and providing a metabolic and fluid and electrolyte support by installing a PEG or jejunostomy are mandatory gestures.

There are particular situations such as narcotics smuggling by swallowing packages ("body packing") made of plastic, balloons or condoms (15,141,142). Since the risk of rupture with exitus by respiratory depression is higher in endoscopic extraction attempts, surgery is usually the only solution.

Conclusion

In conclusion, oesophageal foreign bodies are a frequent emergency, which causes functional symptoms and features that contrasts with poor clinical signs.

The most important treatment remains prevention and raising awareness among parents with children younger than 6 years. Preserving the airways free is the most important element in the management of FB.

Extraction treatment via natural methods is possible in most cases. For FB located in the hypopharynx and glottic space the most effective treatment is extraction by grasp forceps via rigid oesophagoscopy. For other oesophageal impaction sites flexible endoscopy is the first choice.

Variant endoscopy (e.g. oesophageal dilation or conservative treatment) are appropriate in some selected cases (size <2.5 cm, uniform, blunt).

Surgical treatment cannot be avoided in cases where extraction was not managed by other methods or the patient developed complications.
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