Laparoscopic Mobilization of an Omental Flap for a Chronic Scarpa Triangle Suppuration after Vascular Graft Infection
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Abstract
We report a case of laparoscopic mobilization of an omental flap (left epiploic vessels) which was used to solve a Scarpa triangle chronic suppuration after a vascular prosthesis infection (coverage of bovine pericardium patch – angioplasty for closure of the defect resulting after the excision of the vascular graft). The procedure lasted 90 minutes and was performed using 3 trocars and standard laparoscopy instruments. The immediate postoperative course was favourable, with regain of transit after 12 hours and healing of the wound; the patient died 9 months later due to some complications that occurred on the contralateral leg. In cases with a favourable anatomy, the laparoscopic mobilization of the omentum is extremely easy, being associated with a quick recovery and a reduction of the morbidity.
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Introduction
The omentum flap has been used in a great variety of clinical situations due to its excellent blood supply and adaptability (1-6). During recent years, the use of a laparoscopic approach for its mobilization has become popular, having the main advantage of decreasing the morbidity associated with laparotomy (7, 8). We report the use of laparoscopic mobilization of an omental flap which was used to solve a chronic Scarpa triangle suppuration after a vascular prosthesis infection.

Case report
We present a 63 years old male patient who was initially...
diagnosed with critical limb ischemia due to an aorto-iliac occlusive disease. During the last 18 months the patient underwent the following 5 procedures, all of them being performed in another unit:

- aorto-bifemoral by-pass with Dacron prosthesis;
- desobstruction of the left arm of the prosthesis;
- left femoro-popliteal by-pass with Gore-Tex prosthesis;
- desobstruction of the left arm of the aorto-bifemoral prosthesis + distal by-pass using autologous vein;
- wound infection – removal of the femoro-popliteal Gore-tex prosthesis.

The patient remained with a chronic wound suppuration in the upper part of the thigh, corresponding to the incision made in the Scarpa triangle for the exposure of the femoral artery. At 18 months after the initial procedure, the patient was referred to our unit with a dehiscent wound with purulent secretions and a visible prosthesis at the bottom of the wound, local inflammation and general signs of sepsis. The aorto-bifemoral by-pass was functional but the left femoro-popliteal one was obstructed. Bacteriologic examination has shown the presence of MRSA and Acinetobacter. We started iv antibiotherapy and local lavages and dressings but at 72 hours after admission the patient presented a massive pulsatile hemorrhage through the groin wound with hemorrhagic shock that required emergency surgery consisting of:

- clamping of the left arm of the aorto-bifemoral bypass;
- complete opening of the dehiscent anastomosis and endoluminal clamping of the profunda femoris and common femoral artery;
- removal of the distal part of the left arm of the aorto-bifemoral prosthesis;
- reconstruction of the femoral bifurcation using autologous bovine pericardium. This procedure was chosen instead of simple vessel ligation due to the presence of an acceptable retrograde flow from the profunda femoris and common femoral artery in order to avoid acute left leg ischemia and amputation.
- wound debridation, lavages, drainage and wound closure.

The patient recovered from the hemorrhagic shock and remained with an ischemic but viable leg. There were moderate secretions at the level of the groin wound which persisted despite daily lavages and antibiotherapy for 3 weeks (Fig. 1). Considering the high risk for a new episode of bleeding we have chosen to cover the pericardial flap with a well vascularised tissue and decided to use the omentum for this purpose.

The procedure was performed under general anesthesia with the patient in dorsal decubitus. Due to the previous laparotomy, we started with an open laparoscopy in the right iliac fossa using a 2 cm incision similar with the McBurney incision used for classic appendectomy. Other two trocars were placed in a paraumbilical and suprapubic position. After adhesiolysis, we inspected the omentum and created a flap based on the left epiploic vessels. With a minimum dissection, the flap reached the left inguinal ligament and was brought outside the peritoneal cavity. The groin wound was re-opened and debrided, followed by the fixation of the omental flap with complete filling of the wound and coverage of the bovine pericardium flap. After drainage, the skin and subcutis were closed over the pericardium patch (Fig. 2).

The procedure lasted 90 minutes and was performed using 3 trocars and basic laparoscopic instruments (hook-monopolar electrocautery, scissors and dissector). The immediate postoperative course was favourable, with regain of transit after 12 hrs, primary wound healing and no recurrence of the groin suppuration (Fig. 3). The patient died 9 months later after an emergency axillo-femoral by-pass performed for acute ischemia of the right leg after complete removal of the intraabdominal prosthesis.

Discussions

The treatment of chronic wounds associated with vascular prosthesis infection remains a challenge, involving a high rate of failure in terms of mortality and/or leg loss. There are many possible solutions, each of them with advantages and disadvantages (9, 10). In our case, we preferred to use the omentum instead of local groin flaps because of the complicated history of the patient with 6 previous procedures that involved dissection in the upper part of the thigh.

Micheau (1995) considers the omentum to be an “extreme flap for extreme situations” (11). Its use was popularized by many authors, including the Romanian Ion Chiricuta – Professor of Surgery and founder of the Oncology Institute from Cluj-Napoca (12). It may be an elegant solution in a very wide range of defects and clinical situations. However, its use has some disadvantages, mainly related to the variable anatomy and the morbidity associated with laparotomy (13).

The endoscopic approach for the mobilization of different flaps is suggested by some authors as a possible solution to reduce the donor-site morbidity (14, 15). In the particular case of the omentum, the laparoscopic approach solves two main problems related to this flap:

- it allows a quick evaluation of the anatomy, thus
avoiding an useless laparotomy in case of an unfavourable anatomy;
- it reduces the early and late morbidity associated with laparotomy.

There is now enough experience showing that the laparoscopic mobilization of the omentum is a safe procedure in terms of both overall morbidity and quality of the flap (7, 8, 16-18). The possibility of performing its mobilization using a laparoscopic approach has increased the indications for its use in certain situations such as breast reconstruction (7, 19) and sterno-mediastinis / sternal dehiscence (8, 20, 21). Some authors recommend the routine use of a laparoscopically harvested omental flap to fill the dead pelvic space that remains after laparoscopic abdomino-perineal resection for rectal cancer (22).

Our patient had a favourable anatomy which allowed a very easy mobilization without the need of a delicate dissection or special instruments. Compared to a classic laparotomy approach, the advantages were obvious in terms of early recovery.

Figure 2. Intraoperative images. Laparoscopic dissection of the adhesions between the omentum and the anterior abdominal wall (A) and mobilization of the omental flap using the left epiploic vessels (B). Coverage of the femoral vessels and the bovine pericardium patch with the omentum (C, D)

Figure 3. Aspect of the wound at 2 months after surgery.
Conclusions

The laparoscopic mobilization of the omentum is a safe procedure, allowing an early recovery and the avoidance of some of the laparotomy-related complications. In patients with a favourable anatomy the laparoscopic mobilization of the omentum may be performed very easy with basic laparoscopic instruments.
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