An Effective Method to Release Anastomotic Tension after Repair of Esophageal Atresia Using a Foley Catheter
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Abstract

Esophageal atresia (EA) is the most common malformation of the esophagus. Primary anastomosis is achievable in more than 90% of the cases and the milestone of the surgical intervention is achieving a watertight, low-tension anastomosis of the esophageal pouches. The purpose of this paper is to present a new method for releasing tension in the anastomosis and also to provide a safe way for enteral feeding in children with primarily repaired EA. From 2000 to 2012 twenty-one patients underwent primary esophageal anastomosis for EA in our department. During the surgical intervention, a 12-french Foley catheter is inserted through one of the nostrils, through the esophagus down to the site of the anastomosis and passed by into the stomach. After surgery, continuous gentle traction is maintained on the catheter for several days. We had no post-operative disruption of the anastomosis and anastomotic leaks occurred in 1 of the 21 cases. Overall survival rate was 67%. Using the Foley catheter to protect the anastomosis and to release the tension of the sutures provides a cheap and effective means to improve the outcomes of the treatment of EA
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Introduction

Esophageal atresia (EA) is the most common malformation of the esophagus (1). Nowadays the overall survival rate for EA after surgical repair is near to 95% (1). Primary anastomosis is achievable in more than 90% of the cases and the milestone of the surgical intervention is achieving a watertight, low-tension anastomosis of the esophageal pouches (1, 2). A tensioned anastomosis contributes not only to the risk of anastomotic leakage, but also to the risk for stricture or may stretch the distal esophagus providing an etiology for subsequent gastro-esophageal reflux (1,2,3,4). Conventionally a gap between the two pouches less than 2 two vertebral bodies or (1 cm) is considered small enough to ensure a safe, tension free primary anastomosis (1,5). A gap longer than 3 vertebral bodies or 2 cm is considered long and supplementary measures are necessary in order to perform a primary anastomosis (6). Various maneuvers have been proposed to overcome a wide gap: extended mobilization of the esophageal pouches (7,8), tubularisation of the upper pouch after creating a flap (9), circular myotomy of the upper pouch (10) or abandoning any attempt at initial primary anastomosis awaiting delayed primary anastomosis or esophageal reconstruction interposing segments of the stomach (11,12), small intestine (13) or colon (6). Complication of these measures include: long-term esophageal dysfunction after myotomy, fibre damage from overstretching the esophageal muscle and dysmotility, perforation and mediastinitis from suture detachment of the tubularised esophagus (1,2,3,6,14).

One of the main factors influencing the postoperative course of the patient is the onset of early enteral feeding. Insertion of a nasogastric tube during surgery for EA will allow early enteral feeding without the risk of gastro-esophageal reflux and/or disruption of the esophageal suture (15).

The purpose of this paper is to present a new method for releasing tension in the anastomosis and also to provide a safe way for enteral feeding in children with primarily repaired EA. By using a Foley catheter inserted through the anastomosed esophagus in the stomach we were able to ensure a safe way for enteral feeding, prevent gastro-esophageal reflux and release the tension of the suture. This paper presents the surgical procedure and briefly analyzes the results of this surgical method for the repair of EA in children.

Material and Methods

Surgical procedure

The intervention is performed through a classical right thoracotomy. The esophageal is reached through an extrapleural approach and after the fistula is ligated the two esophageal pouches are mobilized as much as possible in order to achieve a minimum gap between them, without extensive dissection. The two pouches are approached among each other and the posterior half of the anastomosis is performed. A 12-french Foley catheter is inserted through one of the nostrils (Fig. 1), through the esophagus down to the site of the anastomosis (Fig. 2). The catheter is pushed further by the surgeon through the distal esophagus and passed into the stomach (Fig. 3). The balloon is then inflated in the stomach and gentle tension is applied to the Foley catheter (Fig. 4).

![Figure 1](image1.png)  Inserting the Foley catheter through one of the nostrils

![Figure 2](image2.png)  Passing the catheter through the anastomosis

![Figure 3](image3.png)  Inserting the catheter into the stomach

![Figure 4](image4.png)  After the balloon is inflated traction is applied to the catheter
The catheter is then fixed to the nose with adhesive tape holding a degree of traction in order to release tension in the anastomosis. The anastomosis is completed anteriorly and the surgical procedure is concluded in the usual manner. Postoperatively the catheter is held in position for 7 days and it also serves for introducing early enteral feeding. Oral, pharyngeal and esophageal secretions are aspirated every 3-4 hours to prevent accumulation in the esophagus and consequent aspiration in the respiratory tract.

From 2000 to 2012 twenty one patients (9 girls and 12 boys) underwent primary esophageal anastomosis for EA. The average birth weight was 2567g ranging from 1800 to 3400g, nine of them having less than 2500g. Associated malformations were present in 10 cases, the majority of them involving cardiac (3), limb malformations (2) and anorectal malformations (1) (Table 1). Esophageal anastomosis was carried out after a median of 62 h after birth. There were 19 EA (90%) with distal fistula, 1 with proximal fistula and one with proximal and distal fistula.

For statistical analysis we used SPSS v19 for Windows.

Results

Complications occurred in 66 % of the cases (Table 2). Overall survival rate was 67%. The majority of the complications were related to lung infection due to prolonged intubation, prematurity and pulmonary immaturity (p<0.05). Sepsis occurred in 8 patients and was associated with higher mortality rates (p<0.05).

None of the other factors, such as cardiac malformations, anorectal malformations, time to surgical intervention had significance over the survival rate, except low birth weight (p=0.02). Anastomotic leaks occurred in 1 of the 21 cases causing mediastinitis, septic shock and death. We had no postoperative disruption of the anastomosis. We did not notice any necrosis of the stomach or of the lower esophagus related to the pressure produced by the Foley balloon.

Discussions

The present study intends to analyze the benefits of our improvement to the treatment of EA. Releasing the tension in the anastomosis, theoretically speaking, should favour the healing and prevent anastomotic leakage or stricture. Previous studies concluded that anastomotic leaks occur in 15–20% of patients, but in only one-third or less did a major disruption appear (16,17). Major leaks may produce life-threatening tension pneumothorax during the first 48 hours (16). Minor leaks are more common and usually have benign course, healing spontaneously, but with increased incidence of stricture formation (16). Mediastinitis complicates both major and minor anastomotic leaks and is usually the cause of severe sepsis or septic shock and death. In our series, leaks occurred in one case (4% of the cases) followed by mediastinitis, severe sepsis and death. As a matter of fact, in our series, the main causes of death were bronchopulmonary infections and not anastomotic leak or disruption. Actually, in 6 of the 7 deceased patients, the direct cause was septic shock due to bronchopulmonary infection. The origin of the infection can only be found in a sum of factors: prematurity, low birth weight, pulmonary immaturity, prolonged tracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation.

First Waterston (18) and then Spitz (19) proposed risk classification for EA based on birth weight and associated cardiac malformation. In our series, we found only the low birth weight to have significant influence over the survival of the patients. Cardiac malformations, despite being present in 3 cases (14%), had no statistical significance for mortality (p > 0.05). Perhaps more important, and in conjunction with the declared purpose of this study is that we found no association between the anastomotic leaks and mortality, and that complications after anastomotic leaks occurred only in 1 patient (4%), which is far less frequent that previously presented rates (16,17). These findings may be indications that stress releasing by means of the transanastomotic traction of the Foley catheter has positive influence. Moreover, we found no postanastomotic strictures or gastro-esophageal reflux disease in the survivors, but we cannot affirm with confidence that this was the effect of the Foley catheter due to the small amount of patients available for long-term follow up.

Critics of this method may argue that, similar to well-known Stengstaken-Blakemore tube complications (20), the continuous traction and consequent pressure of the balloon on the stomach and lower esophagus will eventually lead to local necrosis. None of the patients treated by us by this method presented this kind of complication. The absence of this complication in our series of patients is in our opinion the consequence of two facts: the balloon of the Foley catheter is inflated only inside the stomach and puts no pressure on the lower esophagus and, secondly, the compliance and the quality of the esophageal and stomach mucosa are different than those of patients suffering from esophageal varices and esophagitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malformation</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac malformations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial septal defect</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent ductus arteriosus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorectal malformation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral renal agenesis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cryptorchidism</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypospadias</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club foot</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemimelia</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Associated malformations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bronchopneumonia</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anastomotic leak</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemothorax</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Complications
Moreover, there is no need in newborn patients for such high traction forces like those applied in adults or older children with esophageal varices.

The improvement to the surgical procedure - proposed by us - is simple, produces no harm, is cheap and is effective in releasing the tension in the anastomosis. All previously described surgical techniques indicated the importance of placing a transanastomotic catheter and maintaining it for 5 to 7 days in order to protect the sutures (1,2,3,15,16). We made a step further and proposed an innovation that is more effective in protecting the esophageal sutures and promoting healing.

**Conclusions**

Using the Foley catheter to protect the anastomosis and to release the tension of the sutures provides a cheap and effective means to improve the outcomes of the treatment of EA. EA remains a milestone for the pediatric surgeon and despite of the progresses in the medical world there is still a high rate of mortality.
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